Section I - Summary of Auditors' Results: KPMG LLP

Financial Statements:

Type of auditors' report issued:

Internal control over financial reporting:

Material weaknesses identified?

Reportable conditions identified

not considered to be material weaknesses?

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?

No

Federal Awards:

Internal control over major programs:

Material weaknesses identified?

Reportable conditions identified

not considered to be material weaknesses?

Type of auditors' report issued on compliance
for major programs?

Qualified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required
to be reported in accordance with
Section .510(a) of Circular A-133?

Yes

Identification of major Federal programs:

CFDA Number	Name of Federal Program or Cluster		
83.544	Public Assistance Grants		
93.569	Community Services Block Grant		
14.239	Home Investment Partnerships Program		
14.244	Empowerment Zones Program		
93.563	Child Support Enforcement		
93.566	Refugee and Entrant Assistance – State Administered Programs		
93.959	Block Grants for Prevention & Treatment of Substance Abuse		
14.218	Community Development Block Grant – Entitlement and (HUD-Administered) Small Cities Cluster		

Federal Awards (continued):

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?

\$3,000,000 Yes

State Financial Assistance:

Internal control over major state projects:

Material weaknesses identified? Reportable conditions identified

not considered to be material weaknesses?

No

Type of auditors' report issued on compliance

for major state projects?

Unqualified

None reported

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with

Chapter 10.550 Rules of the Auditor General?

No

Identification of major state projects:

CSFA Number	Name of State Project or Cluster	
37.003	Beach Erosion Control Program	
55.005	Seaport Grants	
55.006	Seaport Transportation & Economic Development Program	
55.010	Public Transit Block Grant Program	

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs:

\$2,582,073

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

There were no findings and/or questioned costs relating to the basic financial statements which are required to be reported in accordance with Government Auditing Standards.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

CFDA #93.569

Funding Source/ Grant/Contract
Program Name Number

Findings/Responses

Item 02-01 Department of Health and Human Services / Community Service Block Grant

Criteria:

OMB Circular A-87 requires that for those employees working solely on a single federal award or cost objective, charges for their salaries shall be supported by periodic certifications, certifying that the employees worked solely on that program for the period covered by the certification.

Condition:

The Miami-Dade County Community Action Agency did not prepare certifications in accordance with OMB Circular A-87, as it relates to CFDA #93.569, Community Service Block Grant.

Questioned Costs:

\$1,361,893 (total payroll costs charged to program).

Effect:

As charges for salaries of employees working solely on this program are not supported by periodic certifications, in accordance with OMB Circular A-87, the County is not in compliance with the Allowable Costs / Cost Principles requirement.

Perspective:

The finding is considered systemic in nature.

Recommendation:

The Miami-Dade County Community Action Agency should establish and implement an adequate system to prepare the required certifications in accordance with OMB Circular A-87. These certifications should be prepared at least semi-annually and should be signed by the employee or supervisory official having first hand knowledge of the work performed by the employee,

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS

Funding Source/ Program Name	CFDA/ Grant/Contract Number	Findings	Current Status
Item 01-1	14.235		
Department of			
Housing and Urban		Criteria:	
Development			
Supportive Housing		Federal regulations surrounding this program	Procedures have been put in place
Program		requires that the County monitor the provider's activities to provide reasonable assurance that	to achieve compliance.
		the provider administers program awards in	
		compliance with Federal requirements.	
		Condition:	
		Of twenty-four (24) providers selected for	
		testing, we found seventeen (17) instances where	
		monitoring reports were not available.	
		Questioned Costs:	
		None.	
		Effect:	
		If subrecipient activities are not monitored or	
		are inadequately monitored or if there is no	
		evidence of any monitoring, pass-through	
		entities could be in violation of OMB compliance	
		requirements. Audit findings and/or questioned	
		cost noted by the subrecipient may go undetected	
		by the pass-through entity which could delay the	
		subrecipient to take a timely corrective action on	
		deficiencies identified in audits.	